Survey of Criminal Justice Theory and Research
CCJ5285, Section 01, Spring 2020
Monday 8:30-11:00 a.m., Eppes 214

Instructor: Dr. Daniel P. Mears (Eppes 404)  Phone: (850) 644-7376
Office hours: M 11:00-1:00, T 8-2, or by appt.  E-mail: dmears@fsu.edu

COURSE OBJECTIVES

The overarching goal of this course is to provide students with an overview—a survey—of the theoretical issues and research on the law and legal control of crime in society. This goal can be achieved in different ways. One is to briefly touch on a small handful of specific topics or issues flowing from the vast literature on crime and criminal justice policy, theories of sentencing and justice system operations, and the sociology of law. Another, the one taken in this course, is to focus on one thematic topic—prisoner reentry—and investigate it from as many different vantage points as possible both to understand reentry and to illuminate a range of topics in theory and research on criminal justice and the study of law.

The more specific goals of the course are to familiarize students with (1) the state of empirical and theoretical research on criminal justice topics, (2) the role of the criminal justice system in contributing to reentry challenges, (3) the range of options for improving criminal justice policy through theory and research, and (4) the tension that exists between so-called “criminological” theory versus so-called “criminal justice” theory.

The objectives and learning outcomes—what students should be able to do by the end of the semester—are to:

- recognize different approaches to undertaking theory and research on criminal justice;
- classify the diverse range of topics that fall under the umbrella of “criminal justice”;
- identify and interpret trends in crime and criminal justice in America;
- trace the historical development of punishment in America and the factors influencing not only changes in it but also in criminal justice policy more generally;
- name the variety of experiences of incarceration and reentry and how these may vary among different populations (e.g., women, juveniles, mentally ill);
- classify and evaluate the challenges associated with successful reentry and with efforts to improve other aspects of the criminal justice system (e.g., policing, courts);
- identify and assess unintended consequences of reentry policies for offenders, victims, society, and the criminal justice system;
- evaluate the merits of “criminal justice” “vs.” “criminological” theory and research; and
- provide theory- and research-based advice to researchers, practitioners, and policymakers about how to study and improve criminal justice.

Classes will be structured around discussions of class readings. I will facilitate these discussions and ensure that we cover as full a range of issues as possible. We will rely on several books (see below) and a number of articles (which will be available via Canvas). Given the amount of reading, you must learn to skim and focus on critical points and issues. Taking notes on your
observations will help you absorb the material. I may include additional readings as the semester progresses. Please bring the assigned material to class, as we will collectively wrestle with specific passages in the readings. Depending on student interest, we can focus on additional or different topics of interest to the class.

REQUIRED TEXT AND READINGS

There are several required texts for the course and, separately, a set of readings that will be available online through the course library. The required books are:


RECOMMENDED TEXTS

These are a selection of books that are not required but that you might find interesting and informative in learning about criminal justice theory and research.


COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Observations and questions (5 percent): Each week, a one-page, single-spaced observation is due in class that includes (1) a key idea that interested you in that day’s readings, with citations as appropriate to specific passages, and (2) two questions that you have about the readings.

Paper (45 percent): A one-page outline of your paper idea is due in class on February 10. Each student will provide a short presentation on their idea. The class and I will provide feedback on it. Your 10-15 page single-spaced final paper then is due March 9. A paper copy of it must be submitted in class. It should make an argument that you support using prior theory and research. Include a title page that clearly identifies the focus and main idea of the paper. Include, too, a references section at the end of the paper that includes as many scholarly sources as are needed to support your theoretical or empirical claims. The title page and reference page(s) are not counted as part of the 10-15 text pages that constitute the main document.

The paper should begin with a paragraph that motivates the problem (e.g., what is the research gap that needs addressing). The second paragraph should begin by stating, “In this paper, I argue XYZ for ABC reasons. To this end, I will structure the paper as follows. First, . . . Second, . . . Third, . . . Etc. I then specify the empirical conditions under which my argument would be supported and those under which it would not. I conclude by discussing implications for theory, research, and policy.” Use headings to clarify the logical flow of your paper’s theory- and research-based argument. It is essential that you make an argument and that you describe (and follow) your outline to support or advance that argument. The grading of your paper will be based on how well you focus your paper’s argument, the logic and empirical evidence you bring to bear in developing your argument, the quality of writing (clear and complete sentences, word choice, transitions, etc.), and conformity with formatting requirements (follow the example set out in any recent issue of Criminology). In class, near the end of the semester, we will discuss student paper ideas in class; you should feel free to come see me to discuss your idea as well. The grading rubric can be found at the end of this syllabus.

Exam (50 percent): There will be a take-home essay-based exam that will be distributed in class on April 20. The paper copy of your completed exam is due in my mailbox on April 27 by 5:00 p.m. The goal of the exam is to help you to understand better the course material and to develop a critical perspective on it. In class, we will discuss the types of questions that likely will appear on the exam. Priority will be given to questions that overlap with the readings and the class discussions. The exam grade will be based on how well you address the specific parts of each question and will take into account the logic and evidence that you present, how well you incorporate relevant material that was assigned (including material that we discuss and material that we may not have had time to discuss in class), the quality of writing (clear and complete
sentences, word choice, transitions, etc.), and conformity with the formatting requirements. The grading rubric can be found at the end of this syllabus.

GRADING SCALE

The grading scale for each element of the course and for the course overall is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
A & \quad = \quad 93.0 \quad \text{to} \quad 100 \\
A- & \quad = \quad 90.0 \quad \text{to} \quad <93.0 \\
B+ & \quad = \quad 87.0 \quad \text{to} \quad <90.0 \\
B & \quad = \quad 83.0 \quad \text{to} \quad <87.0 \\
B- & \quad = \quad 80.0 \quad \text{to} \quad <83.0 \\
C+ & \quad = \quad 77.0 \quad \text{to} \quad <80.0 \\
C & \quad = \quad 73.0 \quad \text{to} \quad <77.0 \\
C- & \quad = \quad 70.0 \quad \text{to} \quad <73.0 \\
D+ & \quad = \quad 67.0 \quad \text{to} \quad <70.0 \\
D & \quad = \quad 63.0 \quad \text{to} \quad <67.0 \\
D- & \quad = \quad 60.0 \quad \text{to} \quad <63.0 \\
F & \quad = \quad <60.0
\end{align*}
\]

COURSE POLICIES

- **University attendance policy.** If you miss class, you will not receive credit for the assignments unless exceptional circumstances exist or you provide prior written notice that attendance to the class is not possible for legitimate reasons, as defined by the University. Students must provide, when possible, advance notice of absences. After an absence, students must provide relevant documentation within one week of missing class; the missed assignment also must be completed within one week of missing class. The University’s attendance policy will be followed in determining whether a missed assignment is due to legitimate reasons. The University’s attendance policy (http://facsenate.fsu.edu/Curriculum-Resources/syllabus-language) states: “Excused absences include documented illness, deaths in the family and other documented crises, call to active military duty or jury duty, religious holy days, and official University activities. These absences will be accommodated in a way that does not arbitrarily penalize students who have a valid excuse. Consideration will also be given to students whose dependent children experience serious illness.”

- **Late assignment policy.** Late assignments will not be accepted unless: (1) Notification is provided to the instructor within 24 hours of a missed assignment, and (2) a legitimate reason is provided for why the assignment was late. Written documentation for the excuse may be required. *If you do not follow this procedure, you will receive a zero on the assignment.* When there is a legitimate reason, the assignment must be submitted within one week of the due date for the original assignment.

- **Academic honor policy.** The Florida State University Academic Honor Policy outlines the University’s expectations for the integrity of students’ academic work, the procedures for resolving alleged violations of those expectations, and the rights and responsibilities of
students and faculty members throughout the process. Students are responsible for reading the Academic Honor Policy and for living up to their pledge to “... be honest and truthful and ... [to] strive for personal and institutional integrity at Florida State University.” (Florida State University Academic Honor Policy, found at http://fda.fsu.edu/Academics/Academic-Honor-Policy).

- **Americans with Disabilities Act.** Students with disabilities needing academic accommodation should: (1) register with and provide documentation to the Student Disability Resource Center; and (2) bring a letter to the instructor indicating the need for accommodation and what type. Please note that instructors are not allowed to provide classroom accommodation to a student until appropriate verification from the Student Disability Resource Center has been provided. This syllabus and other class materials are available in alternative format upon request. For more information about services available to FSU students with disabilities, contact the:

  Student Disability Resource Center  
  874 Traditions Way  
  108 Student Services Building  
  Florida State University  
  Tallahassee, FL 32306-4167  
  (850) 644-9566 (voice)  
  (850) 644-8504 (TDD)  
  sdrc@admin.fsu.edu  
  http://www.disabilitycenter.fsu.edu/

- ** Courtesy, respect, and professionalism.** Please arrive at class on time prepared to discuss the materials assigned. During class, please do not be disruptive or engage in side conversations or activities. Instead, actively contribute to and engage in class discussions.

- **Recording.** Students are not permitted to record (audio or video) class without prior permission from the instructor.

- **Free tutoring from FSU.** On-campus tutoring and writing assistance is available for many courses at Florida State University. For more information, visit the Academic Center for Excellence (ACE) Tutoring Services’ comprehensive list of on-campus tutoring options—see http://ace.fsu.edu/tutoring or contact tutor@fsu.edu. High-quality tutoring is available by appointment and on a walk-in basis. These services are offered by tutors trained to encourage the highest level of individual academic success while upholding personal academic integrity.

- **Syllabus change policy.** Except for changes that substantially affect implementation of the evaluation (grading) statement, this syllabus is a guide for the course and is subject to change with advance notice.
### COURSE CALENDAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Week 1 | 1/6 (M) | **Introduction: Criminal Justice Theory and Research (and Reentry as a Vehicle for Exploring this Field)**  
Mears and Cochran (2015), chapter 1.  
| Week 2 | 1/13 (M) | **Introduction to Criminal Justice Theory and to Reentry**  
Mears and Cochran (2015), chapter 1.  
Mears and Cochran (2015), chapter 2.  
| Week 3 | 1/27 (M) | **Trends in C.J., Corrections, and Reentry Policy and Practice**  
Mears and Cochran (2015), chapter 2.  
Mears and Cochran (2015), chapter 3.  
| Week 4 | 2/3 (M)  | **Causes of Mass Incarceration and Reentry**  
Mears and Cochran (2015), chapter 3.  
PAPER OUTLINES AND PRESENTATION DUE IN CLASS |
| Week 5 | 2/10 (M) | **Profile of the Inmate Population**  
Types of Cases “Handled” by the Criminal Justice System  
PAPER OUTLINES AND PRESENTATION DUE IN CLASS |
| Week 6 | 2/17 (M) | **The Prison Experience**  
Mears and Cochran (2015), chapter 5.  
| Week 7 | 2/24 (M) | **Reentry Experiences and Challenges**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Reading Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Reading/Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 13   | 4/13 (M) | Theory and Crime Policy  
| 14   | 4/20 (M) | Observations on C.J. Theory and Research  
Concluding Comments  
Review both the American Society of Criminology (ASC) and the Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences (ACJS) sites and their annual meeting programs. |
<p>| 15   | 4/27 (M) | EXAM DUE BY 5:00 PM EST. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent (A)</th>
<th>Good (B)</th>
<th>Improvement Needed (C)</th>
<th>Poor to Failing (D-F)</th>
<th>Points (100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argument</td>
<td>Essay contains a clear argument.</td>
<td>Argument is not clearly conveyed.</td>
<td>Argument is difficult to discern.</td>
<td>No argument presented.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Provides compelling and accurate evidence, including theory and research, with no gaps in reasoning, that convinces reader to accept argument.</td>
<td>Provides necessary evidence, with few gaps in reasoning, to convince reader of most aspects of main argument.</td>
<td>Not enough evidence is provided to support argument, or evidence is incomplete, incorrect, or oversimplified.</td>
<td>No evidence is provided, or there are numerous factual mistakes, omissions or oversimplifications.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter-argument</td>
<td>Counter-evidence and alternative interpretations of theory, research, and logic are discussed and evaluated.</td>
<td>Counter-evidence and alternative interpretations acknowledged but not systematically or carefully discussed.</td>
<td>Counter-evidence or alternative interpretations acknowledged but discussed only partially.</td>
<td>Limited to no acknowledgement of counter-evidence or alternative interpretations is provided.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization and presentation</td>
<td>Clear introduction, including roadmap for paper. Effective use of headings and sub-headings, as well as tables or figures, to convey argument and main points. Topic clearly conveyed to class.</td>
<td>Introduction conveys argument and structure, but not clearly. Headings and sub-headings present but do not clearly convey argument and main points. Topic moderately well-conveyed to class.</td>
<td>Introduction does not clearly convey argument or roadmap. Headings and sub-headings missing or do not logically convey argument and main points. Topic not clearly conveyed to class.</td>
<td>Paper has no clear introduction and/or is poorly organized, with few or no headings or devices to convey argument and main points. Topic not presented to class.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>Evidence is used from a wide range of sources, including scholarly books and journal articles. All evidence is properly cited and referenced.</td>
<td>Evidence is used from many sources, but few scholarly sources or too much reliance on a limited few sources. Some incomplete citations or references.</td>
<td>Uses only a few scholarly sources. Some pieces are unreferenced or inaccurately referenced. Incomplete citations and references.</td>
<td>Minimal to no use of scholarly sources. Citations and references missing or incomplete.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing, clarity, and style</td>
<td>All sentences are grammatically correct and clearly written. Clear transitions. Key terms defined. No writing errors. Objective (scientific) presentation of information.</td>
<td>All but a few sentences are grammatically correct and clearly written. Good transitions. Most key terms defined. A handful of writing errors. Largely objective tone.</td>
<td>Many sentences are grammatically incorrect or unclearly written. Weak transitions. Key terms left undefined. Tone is not objective in many places.</td>
<td>Grammatical errors permeate paper. Key terms unmentioned or undefined. Tone is not objective (scientific).</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EXAM GRADING RUBRIC

Each objective below is worth a maximum of 20 percent of the exam grade (per question) | 20 points = | 10 points = | 0 points =  
--- | --- | --- | ---  
1. Effectively addresses all parts of question(s). | Excellent job of directly answering all parts of question logically, completely, and in detail. | Addresses only some parts of the question, the logic is incomplete, and/or provides insufficient detail. | Does not address the question being posed.  
2. Cites all relevant assigned readings. | All assigned readings are included in posting. Any additional material is directly relevant to the question. | Some relevant assigned readings are cited, but others are missing. Extraneous information included. | No assigned readings are cited.  
3. Discusses all relevant cited and assigned readings. | All cited materials are discussed and coherently integrated into the answer. Logical and clear and complete, using theory, research, and other evidence to support answer. | Some of the cited materials are discussed, but other relevant readings not discussed. Unclear logic and incomplete explanation of argument and supporting theory, research, or other evidence. | None of the cited materials are discussed.  
4. Writing is clear, concise, and professional in nature; no grammatical errors. | Clearly expressed ideas. No grammatical errors or other writing problems. | Difficult-to-follow post; disorganized; some grammatical errors and writing problems. | Major grammatical errors; incomplete sentences; difficult to understand.  
5. Organization. | Exam is well-organized, using clear headings, sub-headings, and transitions. Answers flow in a linear manner that addresses the question directly. | Exam is choppy and lacks coherent organization. Limited or no headings or sub-headings or clear transitions. Question not directly answered. | Exam is difficult to follow; ideas are not well linked together. No headings, sub-heading, or transitions. Question not answered or not directly answered.  

| Total possible points | 100 points |